

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-4 and 22-25 are pending.

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Sekul and SPE West for their consideration during the telephone interview of September 29, 2009. During the interview, Examiner Sekul and applicants' representative discussed various 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, ambiguities in the claim language. Specifically, Examiner Sekul identified the lack of antecedent basis for "the adapted signal" in claim 1, for "the previous transmission" in claim 2, and for "the channel profile" in claim 4 and 25. In addition, Examiner Sekul objected to the use of the "and/or" construction in claims 1, 4, 22, and 25. Applicants thank Examiner Sekul for her guidance, and have amended claims 1, 2, 4, 22 and 25 to correct the identified text. In view of the amendments, applicants believe the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Please charge any deficiencies or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 50-0665, under Order No. 612408002US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: October 13, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Stephen Bishop  
Registration No.: 38,829  
PERKINS COIE LLP  
P.O. Box 1247  
Seattle, Washington 98111-1247  
(206) 359-8000  
(206) 359-7198 (Fax)  
Attorney for Applicant